

What's wrong with  
the statement below?

# Lucifer the "Christ," coming to our city?

Satan has to come as an adult  
because he cannot perform  
"virgin birth" miracles.

Download this document and your FREE book at:  
[www.Bibleversiontruth.com](http://www.Bibleversiontruth.com)

Can you imagine him impersonating the images and characteristics of Jesus Christ, (as "The Son of God") portrayed by Hollywood's Biblical movie productions? Can you imagine "Lucifer Christ" manifesting himself in a physical human body and coming "TODAY!" in person, walking about healing all manner of sickness and disease among the people? How do you think humanity will process this?

<sup>1</sup>Can you imagine Lucifer taking on the name "Lucifer Christ" as in Jesus Christ? (Christ meaning, "The Messiah" or "The Anointed One," thus, Lucifer, the "messiah.") He may use another name but this name was chosen so that you the reader will quickly get the picture.

**Remember Jesus Christ's warnings in Matthew 24:4-5, 11, 21, 23-27 and 37-44!**

<sup>2</sup>Can you imagine him impersonating the images and characteristics of Jesus Christ, (as

"The Son of God") portrayed by Hollywood's Biblical movie productions? Can you imagine "Lucifer Christ" manifesting himself in a physical (or possessed) human body and coming "TODAY!" in person, walking about healing all manner of sickness and disease among the people?

<sup>3</sup>Can you see crowds of former sick patients following him out of our hospital buildings still clothed in their sick bed garments, completely healed from all ailments? Can you imagine him casting out devils (This will be a setup scheme between him and his demonic army to distract us from what will happen when his mark is planted **into** our bodies; it will appear as though they were cast out, but not really.), raising the dead (Raising the dead is one of many miracles Satan cannot perform; however, he can counterfeit this miracle using "demonic special effects;" like what he will do in Rev. 13:14-15), opening the eyes of the blind, feeding multitudes with very little food, healing the dumb, walking on water, appearing and disappearing from one city to the next including ours, etc., etc. **How do you, the reader, think you would process this if you were one of those persons healed, or maybe your loved one or someone very close?**

Usually, we make crucial **religious** decisions based on what we see, touch, feel, hear or experience and not so much on what we read. Therefore, even though scripture clearly warns us of the coming antichrist (**II Thessalonians 2:3-12**) and the "**strong delusion,**" "**with all power and signs and lying wonders,**" displayed, with exception to those of whom Matthew 24:24 refers to, we all would still sadly abandon all doubts of whether or not he is the Christ, the true Messiah and savior of the world. The deception will be so incredibly real, even after vigorously searching "**available**" scripture, we all would believe and accept Satan's lies.

<sup>4</sup>Our world is now deliciously set up and ripe for a superhuman to appear. For example, Dark Vader, Superman or Lucifer in disguise, "**showing great signs and wonders.**" Everything Satan needs to pursue his agenda in Isaiah 14:13 are all practically now in place.

Now, just imagine him walking about teaching and preaching his gospel: the kingdom gospel of Lucifer and doctrines of devils. Can you see him trying to prove to the world that he is a man of peace by walking into and between frontline combat, stopping thousands of bullets and explosives fired from guns and tanks, making them float in mid-air, inches away from his body? Bullets and explosives will not affect him.

<sup>5</sup>Can you imagine this “Man of Peace” army of unseen demons, perpetrating criminal elements around the world and then exposing the perpetrators and their cover? Imagine leaders or heads of police departments around the world calling Satan’s hotline, getting all names of persons involved, details of how the criminal incidents occurred and current hiding locations of the criminals’ whereabouts.

<sup>6</sup>Can you see his demons plotting and causing criminals to commit these crimes only to expose them and mislead people into believing that he is truly a man of peace, law and order? Can you see how he would cause criminals around the world to be afraid to commit crimes, thus, solving the world’s global crime problems? This will happen because they will be exposed; their whereabouts and how to easily get to them revealed. When they are caught, can you see Satan altering their behavior or way of thinking that would appear to the world as a positive transformation of the mind, but is very subtly disguised as demon possession? All retributions towards Satan’s dirty scheme will be hopeless because any “evil” intentions would be quickly recognized by “evil” spirits and crushed.

<sup>7</sup>Can you see Satan establishing a very unusual global financial plan that would pay off your mortgage debts or cause you to be debt free in a few short months? You, the reader may say that this is not possible; but it certainly is. (Satan’s followers currently own the wealth of the world; in the “zillions” of dollars. Therefore, giving most of it away would be no big deal if he becomes ruler of the planet.) Imagine, shockingly watching your mortgage balance decline substantially.

<sup>8</sup>What do you think Satan will then do that Jesus Christ refused (because it was not

yet His Father’s will at John 6:15) when the opportunity was offered to Him? Satan will triumphantly accept the nation’s leaders and the people’s desire to make him king and ruler of the planet. This will occur because they will be amazed at the phenomenal miracles and power displayed. When this happens, he would want “proof of allegiance” by introducing an implanted mark/chip **IN** the forehead or **IN** the right hand. (Is the mark of the beast, “in” or “on” the forehead or the right hand?) Those who oppose would not have the proper credential to buy and sell anything; it will be against the law to do so without it. His demonic army, (unclean spirits of **mind control** trying to duplicate the job of the Holy Spirit as the comforter who would lead us into all truth) then would walk **into**, or unit with our bodies (demon possession) and the rest is history: that which was foretold in the book of Revelation. He will cause the whole world to worship him and receive his mark. (Rev. 13:1-18 and 14:9-11)

<sup>9</sup>Satan will duplicate (at least try to) everything Jesus did two thousand years ago, even “**Pentecost.**” When each mark/implant is administered, his new converts will display amazing powers like the Apostles did in Acts 2:4 and 2:43; thus, deceiving other prospective converts to join. When we accept his lies and allow his unclean spirits into our bodies, some time afterwards, we will **uncontrollably**, curse God and blaspheme His Holy name as is mentioned in Revelation 16:9-11, 16:21.

“...And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie: That they **all** might be damned **who** believed not the truth, **but had pleasure in unrighteousness.**” II Thessalonians 2:3-12 (KJV)

<sup>10</sup>Satan will pretend to have a spirit of humility and our modern versions will point to him as “the one who is to come” and “the one who is to be worshipped.” Our scholars who penned Greek and Hebrew dictionaries, lexicons, modern versions, Bible commentaries, etc., have set us all up by removing one of the two references in scripture that prove Jesus left the earth, was caught up

in the clouds and sat on the right hand of the Father; (Mark 16:9-20, Luke 24:51-52) thus, preparing the way for Satan (who will claim to have “come again” as in John 14:3) to appear and counterfeit Jesus Christ’s three-year ministry by performing the “mighty works” mentioned above.

<sup>11</sup>Satan has to come as an adult because he cannot perform “virgin birth” miracles (Is. 7:14); also (and a much bigger problem), **trust** his senior “evil” warlords to steer and direct his youth.

<sup>12</sup>Scholars know Deuteronomy 19:15 and Matthew 18:16, very well where two or more witnesses are needed for a word or a belief to be established. Therefore, modern versions targeted Mark 16:9-20 and remove it as inspired, thus, destroying one of these two witnesses (Mark and Luke) needed to seal the doctrinal “proof text” of the Ascension of Christ. Corrupt Bible scholars cast doubt on Mark 16:9-20 with scholarship lies by claiming that “the most reliable” or “the earliest manuscript” or “other ancient witnesses,” do not have Mark 16:9-20 called, “the longer ending of Mark;” therefore, according to them, we should only trust the “shorter ending of Mark” by stopping at Mark 16:8 and continue on to the gospel of Luke. (Read, “Final Authority,” by William P. Grady, pages 41-59 exposing scholars’ **intentional attack** on Mark 16:9-20 **who knew they were wrong**. Also, for more information on this issue, read the section below called, “**Is this statement Correct?**” There are **many** other book sources defending Mark 16:9-12.)

<sup>13</sup>Scholars want us to believe Jesus Christ never left and will soon reappear or “come again” as He did in His disciple’s presence as recorded in scripture at John 20:19. This is the very same strategy the Pharisee “**scholars**” used to accuse Jesus of in John 8:13-14, when Satan destroyed one of the two witnesses (John 5:31-33 and 36-37) which sealed the belief that Jesus was The Messiah, “the Lamb of God which taketh away the sins of the world.” (John 1:29)

**Please note carefully how the Bible said Jesus would “come again” or returned in Matt. 24:27, Acts 1:11, Rev. 1:7, 6:12-17 and 16:18-20 (KJV).**

<sup>14</sup>The Bible said that Satan will cause the whole world to worship him (Rev. 13:8, 13:12-14). Does “the whole world” include “**YOU!**” the reader? How else do you think he will get an intelligent person like “**YOU**” to worship him? He has to subtly lie to you first as he did to Eve.

<sup>15</sup>In preparation for the coming of “Lucifer, the Christ,” our modern versions driven by many scholars, college professors, preachers, teachers, etc., are in most cases, unintentionally, but contagiously assisting in taking us all on this dangerous journey of deception. **Lord, help us!**

The Bible says, “Behold, the days come, saith the Lord God, that I will send a famine in the land, not a famine of bread, nor a thirst for water, but of hearing the words of the LORD.” Amos 8:11 (KJV) **These days are NOW!**

## So Where Are We All Headed With These Modern Bible Versions?

<sup>16</sup>Where are modern versions headed? Why all the noise from this author? The answer is simple: The next generation. The next generation will not have any Bible standard by which to measure truth from error. Our religious leaders today grew up with the KJV as a measuring Bible standard (in the early nineteenth century); the final deciding component as to which versions are off and the degree of their errors. They have all grown up in a KJV “instrument rating” era. (Instrument rating meaning: without any visual aid, a pilot depends **solely** on instruments to take off, fly and land an airplane.) As a result, they can see when there are serious deviations in modern versions.

<sup>17</sup>Therefore, the KJV was our “temperature and fuel gauge,” our “attitude and altimeter indicator,” the “turn coordinator and directional gyro instrument,” and the “radio and radar,” all to detect when and how much we strayed off course from the truth of God’s Word; and to get us back on course. “Instrument rating KJV pilots” could have flown

into thick clouds of modern versions, discerning and spotting “calm and white cloudy” verses of truth from dark storm cloudy verses of error.

<sup>18</sup>Yesterday we were able to recognize the watered-down versions; pick and choose truth and refuse error based on our KJV “instrument rating skills.” However, today’s generation does not have this solid “instrument rating” foundation to steer their course into our modern version airspace of confusion. The next generation will accept hook line and sinker any watered-down version because they grew up seeing **trusted** ministers of the gospel with a multiplicity of modern versions.

<sup>19</sup>Unfortunately, the generation today and definitely the generation of tomorrow would not have this skill and therefore deception would be the order of the day. Everyone will do that which is right in his or her own eyes and use “Bibles” that fit their very own lifestyles. Modern versions will be so watered down and will point to the antichrist as the one to receive worship and thus, the whole world will worship the beast and receive his mark in their foreheads or right hands.

<sup>20</sup>How do you think Satan will deceive the whole world? He will do this by lying. How do you think he will be able to spread his lies? He will do this by changing fundamental doctrinal passages of scripture making them point to him. He will be the person our modern versions will point to as the one to worship. He will disguise himself into an image that would appear to be like God but behind the camouflage vial, our arch-enemy: Lucifer, the devil. Sadly, our modern versions will make this all happen.

<sup>21</sup>As modern versions plunge deeper and deeper into the airspace of apostasy, our Biblically promiscuous scholars, professors, preachers, teachers, etc., (who will ultimately answer for this in the courtroom of God’s presence) may not be around (by reasons of retirement, old age or death) to take control of this fatally doom flight. Their KJV instrument rating skills will not be in the cockpit to prevent this deadly decent, they aggressively fueled (among their present and future church

congregations), from crashing into Satan’s diabolical plans of global deception.

<sup>22</sup>As mentioned in another section, because we carefully and cautiously examine every small detail when studying God’s word to find out exactly what He said and meant, Satan, on the other hand, very subtly changes words and verses to distort and modify Bible truths. Satan’s biggest cover-up in doing this is to target the fundamental doctrines of God’s Word (the deity of Christ, hell, salvation, spiritual growth, etc.) by corrupting them (all verses that point the lost to the narrow way that leads to finding God and eternal life); he then appears to “enhance” or “improve upon” verses and passages that are inspiring, uplifting, comforting, encouraging, stimulating, arousing, etc. He decorates language in modern versions with just the “right” words that “appear righteous unto men, but within...are full of hypocrisy and iniquity.” (Matt. 23:25-28) It would read like the Bible, but very deceptive in contents. How else do you think he will deceive the **“WHOLE WORLD?”** (Rev. 12:9) **BY DECEPTION!**

<sup>23</sup>An example of this can be found at Micah 5:2, a critical verse in the scripture where the KJV says: “But thou, Bethlehem Ephratah, though thou be little among the thousands of Judah, yet out of thee shall he come forth unto me that is to be ruler in Israel; whose goings forth have been from of old from everlasting.” In the NIV, it says: “But you Bethlehem Ephrathah, though you are small among the clans of Judah, out of you will come for me one who will be ruler over Israel, whose origins are from of old, from ancient times.” This verse in the NIV destroyed the doctrine of the Deity of Christ by placing a beginning of Christ existence: “everlasting” is changed to “ancient **time.**” Everlasting is completely outside of time!

## Is this statement correct?

**“If verses are not found in the Codex Sinaiticus or Vaticanus manuscripts, they should not be in the Bible.”**

Read the FREE book download called, *“The Untold History of Your English Bible...”* for the references listed in this section.

<sup>24</sup>Examples of this can be found in the footnotes of most modern Bible versions today. For example, the footnote for Mark 16:9-20 states: “The earliest manuscripts and some other ancient witnesses do not have Mark 16:9-20” (NIV); “The most reliable early manuscripts of the Gospel of Mark end at verse 8. Other manuscripts include various endings to the Gospel...” (NLT); “The passage from verse 9 to the end is not found in the two most ancient manuscripts, the Sinaitic and Vatican, and others have it with partial omissions and variations.” (The KJV Scofield Study Bible).

<sup>25</sup>Other Bible version footnote state that Mark 16:9-20, “...are not found in the two best authorities,” “...the most ancient manuscript” or “It is generally or conventionally agreed...” etc., etc. Even though there are 5,746 manuscripts in museums around the world, (as of the end of 2019, now unofficially over 6,000) all those that contain the complete Gospel of Mark, **have** Mark 16:9-20. All textual critics indicate (as is expressed in their Bible version footnotes) that these verses should not be in the Bible because they are not in these two “most ancient” texts. **But they are the ONLY two manuscripts that do NOT have them; ALL others have them.** ([2], pages 18-24)

<sup>26</sup>At times, deceiving authors and their footnotes will say that **all critical Greek texts** do not have Mark 16:9-20. Keep in mind that **critical Greek texts** are not Greek

manuscripts; they are copied and pasted (cut, add and delete) Greek text produced by Bible critics; putting in what they want, and leaving out what they don't want. ([2], page 242) They are copied critical editions from both the Codex Sinaiticus and Vaticanus manuscripts.

<sup>27</sup>As previously mentioned, there are now, manuscripts today, not previously available to 1800 scholars like Westcott & Hort, that are much earlier than these supposed 4th-century texts and Mark 16:9-20 **are** included in them. So then, who's telling the truth and who's is lying? Scholars or GOD! (Ps. 118:8, Ro. 3:4; [1], pages 27-30; [2], page 23, 69, 242, 264.)

<sup>28</sup>Readers should be aware and remember that footnotes are not God's Word and are not to be accepted or interpreted as such. They were subtly placed in our Bibles by modern-day critics whose intentions were to create doubt and provide readers with lies they themselves have embraced but are not certain of. As pointed out previously, it's all a contagious circle of misinformation and is repeated over and over again to others (especially in the classroom of colleges, universities and higher learning Christian schools) who themselves continue to spread this “contagious scholarship virus” to others.

<sup>29</sup>Another example of both of these conflicting Greek texts (Sinaiticus and Vaticanus) can be found at John 7:8-9. Many modern Bible version footnotes claim that the word **“yet”** is missing from all critical editions. (Again, please notice the subtle, tricky rhetoric: **“critical editions;”** as David Daniels puts it, readers always have to be careful of them.) Also, sometimes they would say that this word or verse is missing from the “Textus Receptus.” **Which Textus Receptus are they talking about? There are now today counterfeit ones that are being marketed using critical editions as their source.**

<sup>30</sup>The Greek texts missing the word “yet” that made Jesus out to be lying are **NOT** the same text used by the KJV translators. They are counterfeit Greek texts written mainly by 1800 Bible scholars (along with their modern-day contemporaries) who deceptively included the term *“Textus Receptus”* into their book titles. Thus, when references are made to

them, the title, **Textus Receptus** is used ONLY. For example: “**Scrivener’s Annotated Greek New Testament Being The Exact Greek Textus Receptus That Underlies The King James Bible.**” This title is a serious **LIE!** (The Proof? Read, **Hazardous Materials Greek & Hebrew Study Dangers**, (2008) by G.A. Riplinger, pages 578 to 628.)

<sup>31</sup>The shocking truth is that upon investigation, the word “yet” is missing in the Sinaiticus BUT, the Vaticanus has the word. This is what foolish footnote scholars would not tell their readers. ([2], page 84) They simply decided to just drop the word “yet” in the text to make Jesus out to be lying (perhaps in their thinking, it is natural with all humans; simply ignoring what Jesus said, “Which of you convinceth me or sin?” John 8:46). The English Bibles missing the word “yet” at John 7:8-9 are, ASV, CEV, DBY, DRA, ESV, GNB, JB, MOF, MRC, NAB, NAS, NAU, NEB, NET, NJB, NLT, NRS, REB, RSV and TNIV. There are many others who simply have their footnotes acknowledging this “missing word;” for this reason, the oneness (copyright owner) of both text and footnotes are all one and the same. **Readers, please be aware, these very deceiving scholars knew exactly what they were doing.**

<sup>32</sup>Upon examination of both Codex Vaticanus and Sinaiticus Greek texts, one will discover thousands of disagreements. Just the four Gospels of the New Testament (Matthew, Mark, Luke and John) alone contain over **3,000** disagreements between them.

<sup>33</sup>Thus, to “correct” this problem so that the text could unite and become one, scholars like Westcott and Hort, took both manuscripts and decided what goes in and what is left out. If a verse was missing in one, but the other manuscript had the verse, scholars have been given the job of deciding what is left out and what is selected and placed in the text.

**ASV**-American Standard Version; **CEV**-Contemporary English Version; **DBY**-Darby Bible; **DRA**-Douay Rheims; **ESV**-English Standard Version; **GNB**-Good News Bible; **JB**-Jerusalem Bible; **MOF**-The Bible, a New Translation; **MRC**-Messianic Renewed Covenant; **NAB**-New American Bible; **NAS**-New American Standard; **NAU**-Updated NAS; **NEB**-New English Bible; **NET**-New English Translation; **NJB**-New Jerusalem Bible; **NLT**-

New Living Translation; **NRS**-New Revised Standard; **REB**-Revised English Bible; **RSV**-Revised Standard Version; and finally, **TNIV**-Today’s New International Version. This search was made possible using David W. Daniels’ book called, **LOOK WHAT’S MISSING**, (2009) pages 27, 251-254.

**Dangerous Insults to  
GOD!  
Were ye not afraid to  
insult Him?**

“**Fear ye not me? Saith the LORD: will ye not tremble at my presence**, which have placed the sand for the bound of the sea by a perpetual decree, that it cannot pass it: and though the waves thereof toss themselves, yet can they not prevail; though they roar, yet can they not pass over it? But this people hath a revolting and a rebellious heart; they are revolted and gone. Neither say they **in their heart**, Let us now fear the LORD our God, that giveth rain, both the former and the latter, in his season...” (Jeremiah 5:22-24)

<sup>34</sup>Suppose your parents named you Lucifer at birth and you had to live with this name until you were old enough to legally change it; how would you feel? How would you feel if your name was Judas, Jezebel or Delilah? Suppose your name was Adolph Hitler, Jim Jones or one of the most wicked persons known to man. How would you feel? If someone called you stupid, idiot, a dog, a pig or low-down dirt, how do you think these names would affect you if they continue **publicly** calling you by them? How insulting do you think this would be?

“How art thou fallen from heaven, O **Lucifer**, son of the morning...Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell” Isaiah 14:12 (KJV)

“How you have fallen from heaven O **morning star**, son of the dawn...but you are brought down to the grave.” Isaiah 14:12 (NIV)

“How you have fallen from heaven O

**star of the morning, son of the dawn...you will be thrust down to Sheol.”**  
Isaiah 14:12 (NASB)

<sup>35</sup>Well, think about changing “Morning Star” (a name given to Jesus Christ in Rev. 22:16) to Lucifer or changing Lucifer to Jesus Christ. We all know that people name their pets Lucifer.

**The name “Lucifer” is gone in modern versions (like the NIV and many others at Is. 14:12) and replaced with the “Morning Star.”**

<sup>36</sup>Let’s for now just forget the law of good grammar and proper English and use the law of common sense: by ignoring the “scholarship lies” given by liberal Bible scholars in their Greek and Hebrew lexicons and dictionaries, changing Lucifer to “the morning Star” is the same as calling God (or Jesus Christ) Lucifer. Can you imagine how dangerously insulting this is to God? Even if we accept the lies given for this change, it is still insulting to God because of our perceived perception of the evil person Isaiah 14:12 is talking about. Can you imagine changing God’s name to the most wicked person in the universe? How do you think this affects our precious Lord by **publicly** assigning this title or name to Him?

<sup>37</sup>Publicly change the king or queen’s name to an evil and insulting name and see what eventually happens to you no matter where you are on this planet. Can you imagine then our King of all kings and Lord of all lords; our great God, the Most High? Can you imagine insulting the **“Greatest POWER!”** in the universe?

**“A son honoureth his father and a servant his master: if then I be a father, where is mine honour? And if I be a master, where is my fear? said the LORD of hosts unto you, O Priests, that despise my name...” “The LORD will cut off the man that doeth this, the master and the scholar...” (Malachi 1:6, 2:12)**

<sup>38</sup>This author will definitely take no chances at promoting this name change; neither books or “Bibles” that condone it. The children of Israel thought they were correct in

supporting the 10 wicked spies in Numbers Chapters 13 and 14 and paid dearly for it. Korah and the 250 princes who came up against Moses (Numbers 16:1-35) thought they were right and paid a horrible price for it. Look at how God responded to Miriam and Aaron when both of them spoke against Moses. (Numbers 12:1-16) Even when Moses cried out and begged God to heal Miriam, “now!” God refused and allowed her to be ashamed for seven days. Look what happened to Uzzah, a man who loved the Lord and **who thought he was right** with what he did. Nevertheless, God’s anger was kindled and He killed him because of his error (II Samuel 6:6-7). **The sad thing about this incident is that we all would have done the same thing if we were Uzzah and not escaped God’s wrath or His judgment. Not knowing His word or instructions was no excuse!**

<sup>39</sup>Remember, God is also a God of WRATH. He is God of yesterday, today and forever; He NEVER changes. **“Were ye not afraid”** to promote the NIV and other modern versions that made this change (Jer. 5:22-24)? What do you think the problem was in Numbers Chapter 13:30-33 and 14:1-45? It was a serious lack of faith, unbelief and a **breach of promise** (Num. 14:34). After an abundance of miracles in Egypt and in the wilderness of how God provided, scholars, leaders and preachers in Moses’ day still did not believe God had the power to preserve and protect them. (Sound very much like scholars today questioning God’s ability to preserve His Word throughout all generations intact and without errors forever as He promised.) For this lack of faith or breach of God’s promise, they did not enter into the Promised Land neither did they **inherit** anything.

<sup>40</sup>Even Moses did not **believe** God’s specific instruction, “...speak ye unto the rock...” (Numbers 20:7-12), and **for this reason**, he did not sanctify (glorify, proclaim or preached) God’s unadulterated and perfect Word among the people (because of his unbelief); thus, he lost one of the greatest blessings all Israel looked forward to receiving at the time: this was entering into the Promised Land. (Imagine him saying: rocks don’t talk!) This is a very serious warning lesson for us

today no matter where we are spiritually; that is, God is no respecter of persons. We all know the conclusion of this story: even though Moses unsuccessfully begged God to reconsider His judgment, he paid dearly for his error; the error and sin of **unbelief**. (Rom. 14:23, Heb. 10:38, 11:6)

<sup>41</sup>Can you the reader imagine not inheriting anything in the kingdom of heaven because of a lack of faith or a breach of God's promises? For Israel (many of them), it was not inheriting neither entering into the Promised Land) Can you imagine contributing to causing many others to follow you by promoting these bogus Bibles and their **antichrist** views? It is amazing how some of the "**best**" intellectual minds (scholars, professors, preachers, teachers, etc.) try and explain away this issue when they, themselves would find it very insulting to be called Lucifer or one of the most wicked persons known to man.

<sup>42</sup>Mr. James White author of the book "The King James Only Controversy" (one of the well-known defenders of modern versions) on page 138, very dogmatically explain-away this issue by stating that to understand the change you have to "read things in context." After several questions to justify his reasoning, he said, "there is no logical reason to take offense at the proper translation of Isaiah 14:12 in the NIV or NASB." Mr. White said, "Both...are perfectly acceptable translations of the Hebrew word." He then selects "the standard lexicon in the field" from three liberal KJV Bible haters, Brown, Driver and Briggs as his authorities or reference to prove the meaning of the Hebrew word in Is. 14:12 (see page 147 in his book). But these scholars (Francis Brown 1849-1916, Samuel R. Driver 1846-1914 and Charles A. Briggs 1841-1913) in the 1800s were all part of the conspirators who hated the KJV Bible and desperately wanted to change it and destroy our confidence in it. (Read about their unchristian profiles in Chapter Six of the free downloadable book from the website above.)

<sup>43</sup>These men dishonestly changed words and meanings in their Greek and Hebrew work and replaced them with their own secular and pagan beliefs. Furthermore, the Hebrew word for "star" is nowhere in the

original Hebrew text in Is. 14:12. So they dishonestly added "star" in this verse, thus duplicating the name "the morning star," and subtly linking it to Blavatsky's teaching on Lucifer (read the downloadable book mentioned earlier for more on this). The Hebrew word here means "light bearer" or "shining thing." Therefore if we were to use either of these two meanings, the text would read like the following: How art thou fallen from heaven, O light bearer (or shining thing), son of the morning. Thus, how do you think scholars started with "Light bearer" (or "shining thing") and ended with "morning Star?" Deception and lies! So why should we accept what they concocted in this verse? Why should we place our confidence in the Greek and Hebrew study tools they have written? So then, what is the difference in meaning of "son of the morning" and "the morning star?"

**A star is any galactic body that gives light: The sun. A moon is any galactic body that reflexes light. One is light and the other a reflection of that light; or, one is the Creator and the other a reflector or reflection, an "early bird," "a morning person," of the Creator, etc.**

<sup>44</sup>The problem with this name change is, how do you convince the next generation of this explanation of two persons with the same name where one person is under the judgment of God and the other person is God? Even Strong who gave us "Strong's Exhaustive Concordance of the Bible," in his encyclopedia, charges that Lucifer is not Satan, but Lucifer is Jesus Christ. He was a member of the ASV translation committee that called Jesus Christ a "creature" and not the Creator. (See his encyclopedia, Vol. 5, pages 542-543)

<sup>45</sup>Mr. White, gave his "best" possible explanation for this change and as a result, many modern version advocates accept his views to explain this cheap change of name, for the God of the universe, the ALMIGHTY! The explanation given for the name change is very flimsy; the repercussion of dangerously insulting God is too great and too much is at stake; even the possibility of losing rewards (everything) for born-again Christians.



<sup>46</sup>It is so depressing to see what Bible scholars and university professors are doing to God's Word and many of our very beloved, pastors, ministers of the gospel and teachers of God's Word swallowing these "scholarship lies" hook, line and sinker; and worst of all they are very upset with anyone (like this author) who try to present these Bible version facts.

<sup>47</sup>Remember what Jesus said to the high-powered **RELIGIOUS LEADERS** in His day: "Woe unto you Scribes and Pharisees, hypocrites..." "Woe unto you, ye blind guides..." "Ye fools and blind..." "Thou blind Pharisee..." "Ye serpents, ye generation of vipers..." As was in the days of our Lord and Savior (the Spoken Word), so it is with us today (the Written Word). "...for ye have perverted the words of the living God..." (Jeremiah 23:36)

<sup>48</sup>As mentioned earlier, our ministers of the gospel are very well aware of the painfully, terrifying and horrifying struggles in the history of the Christian church to preserve our Bibles. Unfortunately, even though our modern versions today are seriously being watered down with each new edition, our ministers of the gospel either lacking spiritual discernment, unrecognizing or deliberately ignoring the possibility that there must be a guiding presence, an unclean spiritual force working behind the scene altering our Bibles to deceptively say what it wants us to read and believe.

If we all have to stand before God for ourselves, then please, let us investigate Bible version issues for ourselves and not follow persons who criticize and ridicule the KJV Bible and never did any thorough investigation. "Seek ye out of the book of the LORD, and read..." (Is. 34:16) Beware of many university professors and their recommended reading endorsements: they hate literature that is pro-KJV and promote "anything" that is anti-KJV. (They hate the Written Word without a cause.) God said, "My people are destroyed for lack of knowledge." (Hosea 4:6) Jesus said in Matthew 15:14: "Let them alone: they be blind leaders of the blind. And if the blind lead the blind, both shall fall into the ditch." Most people follow leaders they believe are truthful, honest

and excellent speakers. Nevertheless, we've got to take an independent approach on this issue. **There are enormous amounts of satanic scholarship attacking and infiltrating everything that is Holy and Godly today.**

<sup>49</sup>As stated earlier, the Hebrew word for "star" is nowhere in the original Hebrew text in this verse. Therefore, it is very deceitful to erroneously add the word "star" to duplicate the name "morning star." (In other versions "day star" or "star of the morning" is used at Isaiah 14:12.)

<sup>50</sup>In Greek, the word is "*heosphoros*" which means light-bearer; in Latin, it is "Lucifer" also meaning light-bearer; finally, in Hebrew, the word is "*heyleel*" meaning light-bearer. The meanings are all the same in these three languages. If you asked anyone who Lucifer is, they know immediately who you are talking about. Luciferians and Satanists know their master's name: Lucifer; so how is it that "Bible" scholars do not have the correct meaning in their dictionaries, lexicons, commentaries, etc?

<sup>51</sup>Some scholars argue that it was Jerome who introduced the name "Lucifer" into the text. But Jerome simply translated his Greek "Bible" to Latin and the Latin word is Lucifer meaning light-bearer. Scholars use Ezekiel chapter 28 and Isaiah 14:4-8 to prove that the text is not talking about the most wicked person in the universe, but refers to a Babylonian king. Log on to: (<http://www.chick.com/ask/articles/lucifer.asp>) for a complete answer to this false view.

<sup>52</sup>Who is the "morning star" at Rev. 22:16? Sadly, the name Lucifer has been removed from most Bible dictionaries and other reference works or falsely declared where it is listed.

## LET US EXAMINE THIS FROM SCRIPTURE

<sup>53</sup>Scholars claim that Isaiah 14:12 points to the king of Babylon (see Is. 14:1-15) but when these verses are examined carefully, we discover that the LORD is directing His judgment to the person behind the earthly-

human king as He did to Peter in Matthew 16:22-23. Notice what Jesus said, looking at Peter: **“get thee behind me Satan.”** Also, notice that Jesus used the exact same statement when Satan took Him up to a high mountain and showed Him all the kingdoms of the world (Luke 4:5-8). Jesus said, **“Get thee behind me, Satan.”** What is obviously clear in both verses is that Jesus was not talking to Peter, but to the spirit person behind Peter. Also, **the person in Luke 4:5-8 is obviously the same person** in Matthew 16:22-23.

<sup>54</sup>The question is who was Jesus Christ talking to in this verse? Was it Peter or was it Satan? Can he see Satan who he was talking to?

<sup>55</sup>Remember, casting devils out of persons was a very new phenomenon and not seen in the Old Testament as was seen during Jesus’ ministry. Therefore, these devils were talking to Jesus and He was talking back at them. However, as Jesus talked to them, He was **looking at their victims** but talking to the spirit behind, inside, or outside of them who was influencing their every action. (Mark 1:25-26, 9:25-26) Peter was allowing Satan to do the same thing which was why it prompted Jesus to look at Peter but spoke to the spirit behind or influencing Peter to say what he did.

<sup>56</sup>We now have basically the same scenario with the King of Tyrus in Jeremiah and the King of Babylon in Isaiah where God was not talking to either king but the spirit behind them.

**(Ezekiel 28:1-2, 11-17)** The word of the LORD came again unto me, saying, Son of man, say unto the prince of Tyrus, Thus saith the Lord God; Because thine heart is lifted up, and thou hast said, I am a God, I sit in the seat of God, in the midst of the seas; yet thou art a man, and not God, though thou set thine heart as the heart of God...Moreover the word of the LORD came unto me, saying, Son of man, take up a lamentation upon the king of Tyrus, and say unto him, Thus saith the Lord GOD; **Thou sealest up the sum, full of wisdom, and perfect in beauty. Thou hast been in Eden the garden of God;** every precious stone was thy covering, the sardius, topaz, and the diamond, the beryl, the onyx, and the jasper,

the sapphire, the emerald, and the carbuncle, and gold: **the workmanship of thy tabrets and of thy pipes was prepared in thee in the day that thou wast created. Thou art the anointed cherub that covereth; and I have set thee so: thou wast upon the holy mountain of God:** thou hast walked up and down in the midst of the stones of fire. **Thou wast perfect in thy ways from the day that thou wast created, till iniquity was found in thee.** By the multitude of thy merchandise they have filled the midst of thee with violence, and thou hast sinned: therefore **I will cast thee as profane out of the mountain of God: and I will destroy thee, O covering cherub, from the midst of the stones of fire. Thine heart was lifted up because of thy beauty, thou hast corrupted thy wisdom by reason of thy brightness: I will cast thee to the ground, I will lay thee before kings, that they may behold thee.”**

<sup>57</sup>In Ezekiel 28:1-17, the LORD said in verses 1-2: **“say unto the king of Tyrus.”** But what did He tell Ezekiel to say? He told Ezekiel to say: [a] you were full of wisdom but corrupted it (naturally, in an absolute sense, human beings are never full or complete with wisdom); [b] you were **perfect** in beauty (honestly speaking, no human beings are); [c] you have been in the Garden of Eden (there were only four persons excluding the Cherubims, recorded in Genesis who were present); [d] **every** precious stone was your covering (this puts him outside of the natural human appearance); [e] tabrets and pipes were built into you (he is not human); [f] you were created (the king of Tyrus was born and not created; angels were created); [g] you were the anointed cherub that covereth (a Cherub is not human but a heavenly person); [h] you were in the holy mountain of God and walked up and down in the midst of the stone of fire; [i] you were perfect in your ways (no humans except Adam, Eve and Jesus were perfect). The question then is: Does a **human** king fit these descriptions? If not, then who? It does not take a scholar to figure out who the LORD was talking to. From all descriptions given, anyone can see and understand that the LORD was directing this judgment message to Satan.

**Isaiah 14:4-8, 12-15** "...thou shalt take up this proverb against the king of Babylon, and say, How hath the oppressor ceased! the golden city ceased! The LORD hath broken the staff of the wicked, and the scepter of the rulers. He who smote the people in wrath with a continual stroke, he that ruled the nations in anger, is persecuted, and none hindereth. The whole earth is at rest, and is quiet: they break forth into singing. Yea, the fir trees rejoice at thee, and the cedars of Lebanon, saying, Since thou art laid down, no feller is come up against us...How **art thou fallen from heaven**, O Lucifer, son of the morning! How art thou cut down to the ground, which didst weaken the nations! **For thou hast said** in thine heart, **I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God: I will sit also upon the mount of the congregation**, in the sides of the north: **I will ascend above the heights of the clouds; I will be like the most High**. Yet thou shalt be brought down to hell, to the sides of the pit.

<sup>58</sup>Now, just at face value, if we were to look at Isaiah 14:4-8, 12-15, who was **fallen from heaven**? Luke 10:18-20 and Revelation 12:7-12 described this person as Satan. Also, did the king of Babylon fall from heaven or was he born to earthly parents? He is nowhere recorded in scripture as being in heaven.

<sup>59</sup>Furthermore, do you think a human being would use the kind of language that was used by the person the LORD was talking to? The person said: I will ascend into **heaven**; exalt my throne above the **stars**. Noticed that the person the LORD directed His judgment message to was cut/cast down to the ground in both Isaiah and Ezekiel.

Luke 10:18-20 And he said unto them, **I beheld Satan as lightning fall from heaven**. Behold, I give unto you power to tread on serpents and scorpions, and over all the power of the enemy: and nothing shall by any means hurt you. Notwithstanding in this rejoice not, that the spirits are subject unto you; but rather rejoice, because your names are written in heaven.

Revelation 12:7-12 And there **was war in heaven: Michael and his angels fought**

**against the dragon**; and the dragon fought and his angels, And prevailed not; neither was their place found any more in heaven. And **the great dragon was cast out, that old serpent, called the Devil, and Satan, which deceiveth the whole world: he was cast out into the earth, and his angels were cast out with him**. And I heard a loud voice saying in heaven, Now is come salvation, and strength, and the kingdom of our God, and the power of his Christ: for the accuser of our brethren is cast down, which accused them before our God day and night. And they overcame him by the blood of the Lamb, and by the word of their testimony; and they loved not their lives unto the death. Therefore rejoice, ye heavens, and ye that dwell in them. Woe to the inhabitants of the earth and of the sea! For the devil is come down unto you, having great wrath, because he knoweth that he hath but a short time.

## Is it too late then to stop sales of ALL modern Bible versions due to these very telling facts?

<sup>60</sup>Is it too late to stop the modern version's mass production where many new modern Bibles are produced and published every year? The million-dollar Bible version money-making machinery cares less about underlying (or foundational) Greek and Hebrew texts, so long as the huge demands for "easier" reading English Bible remains popular and is sort after. Exposing fake underlying manuscripts no longer hinders the massive impulse that is now driving the modern Bible version markets forward.

<sup>61</sup>Today, **liberal** scholars, professors and Bible publishers care less regarding the foundation being pulled from underneath that of modern Bible versions. They no longer care because these Bible publishers are now owned by lost, unsaved, market-driven corporate executives with no inner value regarding the content text they represent or handle. These greedy merchants are going after a market that has sold more than 6 billion, KJV Bibles in just over 400 years. Even a tiny fraction of sales in

this market is considered huge. (Login to [www.Bibleveriontruth.com](http://www.Bibleveriontruth.com) and read the section called, ***So where are we all headed with these modern Bible versions?*** found in the document called, ***Lucifer the "Christ," coming to our city?***)

<sup>62</sup>Certainly, we may have had thousands saved, perhaps millions, by just hearing and reading these "un-Spirited" words of modern Bible versions. (John 6:63) But, imagine the billions MORE who will not be saved (or attain eternal life) because of all the Bible version confusion that is taking place. For example, the over one billion Muslim believers who would have certainly read the gospels as the **Koran** instructs them to had there not been so much confusion regarding the modern Bible version texts. Due to all modern version uncertain disagreements, they refuse to place faith in them because **they were told by our "modern Bible version correctors,"** that Matthew and Luke copied their eyewitness accounts from Mark; and there are many questionable and unreliable verses and words found therein.

<sup>63</sup>Yes to the small successes modern Bible visions claim to enjoy; but hidden and ignored are the massive failures in the lives of many others who want nothing to do with the ignorance and sloppy modern version scholarship mess. One day these fault-finders of God's Word will all pay dearly for this in the courtroom of His Holy presence. Ignorance will not be a calculated "getaway-with-it," pardon (or God would turn a blind eye to the ignorance) among academically qualified and competent scholars, professors, pastors, teachers, etc. who arrogantly despised the KJV Bible or anything that promotes it. (Please note that this writer is not suggesting, the loss of eternal life status with God.)

**The risk of MORE who are lost because of modern Bible versions far exceeds the claims of FEW who were saved by them!**

<sup>64</sup>They all intentionally hate the Traditional Text that was passed down to us from God, because of what they read in scholarly books and what their university

professors taught them in Bible colleges. Programmed in most of their psyche, is that their professors could not have been wrong; but God's Word is. For reasons of the many preservation promises found in scripture, those who embraced the modern-day Bible version views of unbelief (**especially those who proclaim or preach this from the pulpit**) are just as guilty as those who actually tampered with it by changing the actual text. (Rev. 22:18-19)

<sup>65</sup>Imagine then the enormous price, the enormous cost on the heads of all modern version scholars, professors, pastors, teachers, etc., in the presence of the Word, the Ultimate Judge on that great day. (John 12:48) A lack of faith resulting from blindness caused by academic prestige, professional reputation, pride and investments on this issue, is not an option; neither can it be used as a defense when standing before GOD Almighty.

<sup>66</sup>These excuses will not protect us from the mentally painful outcome of God's judgment resulting from **our** arrogance for not using God's promised and preserved Word (or not believing Him); this includes the fear and shame of standing up for His Word: Fear of being looked down at, from modern version communities as outdated, ignorant, un scholarly, intolerable, idiotic, naive, stupid, etc. (Mark 8:38) **This writer is certain that the repercussions of this will be intense!**

**Let's say you just "suck your teeth" and say who cares about these findings? How will you explain this in God's presence? How will you get out of causing multitudes to be cast into Hell for promoting these modern bogus Bibles? What explanation will you give for contributing and causing many to follow your modern Bible version views? How can you pacify God after promoting, all your life, these fake manuscripts? What can you possibly say to God for ignoring His promises to preserve His Word throughout the generations and forever?**

<sup>67</sup>"...God is no respecter of persons..." (Acts 10:34) A scholar, professor or pastor's years and successes in the ministry will not protect him or her from the repercussion that

will come. Look at the religious leaders in scripture. They were called at one point, greedy, dumb, dogs by God in Isaiah 56:10-11. Jesus cursed the religious leaders to Hell in Mat. 23:13-33; "...how can ye escape the damnation of hell?" Look at the serious curse God pronounced on a top religious leader like Eli in 1 Sam. 3:14: "...the iniquity of Eli's house shall not be purged with sacrifice nor offering **for ever.**" Yes! God's blessings (answer to prayers, miracles, good fortune, wealth and health) reign on the just and the unjust alike and will be held against those who carelessly handled the words of God; this writer has sadly included himself as well. Remember, Hebrews 11:9: "...without faith it is **impossible** to please him..."

<sup>68</sup>Like the comparison (or parallelism) Jesus used in Matthew 5:27-28 – "...whosoever looketh on a woman to lust after her hath committed adultery with her already in his heart." (Just lustfully looking without touching is just the same as the physical act.) – this analogy can also be applied to those who deliberately tampered with and altered God's Word (Rev. 22:18-19); they are all partakers (like lust, greed is just the same) as those who preach, teach and promote this dangerously counterfeiting act. There is no difference between the two (the producers and promoters); they both are one in the sight of God.

<sup>69</sup>A preacher once said to be careful **not** to worship the Book. What he meant was to be careful not to worship the KJV Bible. (**He unconsciously claimed this because all modern version arguments caused him to abandon his FAITH in God's promises and embraced DOUBT from man's reasoning.**)

<sup>70</sup>Psalm 138:2 said: "...**for thou hast magnified thy word above all thy name.**" Psalm 12:6-7 said, "The words of the LORD are...preserve...**for ever.**" Jesus Christ said in Hebrews 10:5-9: "**Then said I, Lo, I come (in the volume of the book it is written of me,) to do thy will, O God.**" John 1:1 said, "**In the beginning was the Word, and the Word was with God, and the Word was God.**" (Also, Heb. 1:6-14)

<sup>71</sup>Any person, Word or words, God Himself **magnifies** above **ALL** His name can be worshipped. If God wants all of us to put 100% **trust** in His Word, then this rightfully and strongly implies a license (or an indication) from God to **WORSHIP** it or the person. Therefore, **nothing can be lost or go missing from it;** (Mat. 24:35) if so, **God can then be challenged** in His courtroom. We see this over and repeatedly in our local courthouses with loopholes in established laws. Lawyers use them to their advantage and win related cases every time.

<sup>72</sup>This writer is certainly not referring to bowing down to worship and reverence a book; especially one that people simply don't trust; they never read, neither do they follow its instructions. On the other hand, this writer is also not referring to ministers of the gospel who strongly claim to believe the book; they say that our lives ought to live up to its standards; they strongly emphasize that it should be our one and only guide through life; yet they themselves, don't believe everything on its pages and dare not publicize this to their listening audience. What this writer meant regarding worshipping the book is to revere and respect its contents, live by them, apply them to life's challenges and follow ALL of the book instructions because they are God-breathed; His instructions to mankind. **When doing this, the book is then worshipped in one sense.** This is because the content of the book will be the ultimate judge determining whether one lives eternally with God or is cast into an eternal Lake of Fire. (John 12:48)

Like Jesus Christ, who was the Word, who was God (John 1:1, 14) embodied with flesh and was the only physical representation of God (that we could have once touch, feel, handle, hold and speak to) is also with us today embodied with words that are placed on the pages of a physical book that we can touch, feel, handle, hold and read from. You may ask: how is this possible? Only God one knows because, with Him, ALL THINGS ARE POSSIBLE with the Written, Spoken and Living Word **oneness!** (John 1:1, 14)

<sup>73</sup>Think about it for a moment; if God allowed His Word (or any part of it that He truly

said and meant) to get lost through hundreds of years of copying and the translation process, He would not be faithful. **This act of allowing His Word to get lost would be an INTENTIONAL act of unfaithfulness because of who He is and His great and mighty powers:** the power to keep it preserved throughout history just by simply speaking it. We cannot then be held accountable to it, if it (or any part of it) got lost. **(John 12:48)** We would be able to present strong arguments in our defense in the courtroom of His Holy presence for what is and was not found in all Bible versions including those that point to Satan as God; which created huge doubt among God's people.

<sup>74</sup>Therefore, the conclusion of the matter really is that God has wasted His time if He did not preserve **ALL** of His inspired words for us today as He promised He would. Also, to believe that He did waste His time because we do not have **ALL** of His words with us today is **FOOLISH!**

**If God said what He did at Psalm 12:6-7, 33:11, 100:5, 119:89, 160, 138:2, Ecc. 3:14, Is. 40:8, Matt. 4:4, 24:35, Mark 13:31, Luke 21:33, Heb. 10:7 and 1 Peter 1:23, 25; then, praise the LORD, we can believe Num. 23:19, Is. 14:24, 27, Jer. 32:17, 27; otherwise, Rom. 14:23, Heb. 10:38 and 11:6.**

## Satan's Public Image

<sup>75</sup>With a lustful and greedy desire to "be like the Most High," Satan's public image campaign to pursue worship like God is crucially important. Thus, we can almost predict his target of attack to achieve this goal. **He has to make several changes to humanity's perception and knowledge of him, and to achieve this, he must first and foremost attack God's Word and make changes to it.**

<sup>76</sup>As was discussed earlier, one of the changes was to replace his name, Lucifer (the only reference to his name in the entire Bible) to one that is close to the title, "son of the morning" (another one of his titles) and one that is worshipped. The "Morning Star" (Rev. 22:16) was an excellent replacement in the

minds of deceived scholars, because it refers to Him who every knee WILL bow and every tongue WILL confess and that it is very close or similar to "son of the morning." This was one of the most difficult changes to make because it required silencing the voice of matured critical Christian thinkers who **were** in large numbers.

<sup>77</sup>However, after many decades of manipulation and possession of foolish scholars, today he has successfully done just that because our modern versions like the NIV and many others have made this change and their explanations for doing so ultimately link to Arch-Luciferian Madame Blavatsky's views in her book on Lucifer called: "The Secret Doctrine." Who is Madam Helena P. Blavatsky (1831-1891)? She was a high priestess of sorcery, magic, Satan worship and occultism. She founded the organization called, "The Theosophy Society" and her magazine called, "Lucifer," evolved into the two-volume book called "The Secret Doctrine." This book is one of the most wicked books ever written and it teaches that Lucifer should be worshipped.

Did you know that some of our "trusted" Bible scholars attended Luciferian meetings with Madam Blavatsky? When you hang out with someone infested with fleas, what happens?

<sup>78</sup>Read Chapter 2, number 11 in the free downloadable book to find out what Luciferian, Madame Blavatsky wrote and compare them with our "trusted" fleabag scholars with what they included in their modern "Bibles," Bible dictionaries, lexicons, commentaries, etc.

## QUESTIONS:

- [1] Peter and Satan: Were they the same person or were they separate individuals?
- [2] Can both kings (Babylon & Tyrus) be possessed with an unclean spirit?
- [3] What does Job 38:7 mean? Does it mean: When God (The Trinity: Morning Stars) sings, all His sons shout for joy? (Sons of God: men; a similar pre-Mosaic period like in Ex. 23:17, 34:23, Deu. 16:16 which obviously points to Job 1:6, 2:1)

